

HyNet_9 Aug_ISH3_PT3

Created on: 2023-08-09 13:37:40

Project Length: 00:33:51

File Name: HyNet_9 Aug_ISH3_PT3

File Length: 00:33:51

FULL TRANSCRIPT (with timecode)

00:00:05:00 - 00:00:06:24

Thank you, everybody. And welcome back.

00:00:12:07 - 00:00:13:25

Thank you, everybody. And welcome back.

00:00:15:28 - 00:00:32:15

I make it now all the time at. 159 I'm resuming this session, which is the third session of this issue. Specific hearing into environmental matters and the draft development consent order. Can the case team please confirm that everybody.

00:00:34:10 - 00:00:42:17

Can sorry can the coast can confirm that live stream started and everything that needs to be done has been done. Thank you.

00:00:45:25 - 00:01:11:04

I'm going to commit suicide to this session with agenda item six, which is schedule two of the draft consent order and the requirements discussions today is about the wording rather than the content. That is. I'm not proposing to talk about the specifics of an issue, but rather looking at the requirement and the way it's worded and whether it would secure the mitigation or manage the works in the in the ways that are necessary.

00:01:14:03 - 00:01:25:27

With that in mind, can the applicant provide an overview of the requirements for the particular focus on how any requirements have been altered as a result of the change requests? Specific hearing to please.

00:01:30:28 - 00:01:40:05

For the applicant. The requirements are not primarily altered by the change requests. The change requests did affect

00:01:42:24 - 00:01:53:17

change. I'm sorry, change number five and change request one was in addition to working hours to include Saturday morning working hours that affected the construction working hours there has been an addition to.

00:01:55:10 - 00:02:18:05

Requirement number four scheme design as a result of change request to where the bridge crossing was adding to add some detail around requirements for design details should that option be taken forward. The majority of other changes have been made to requirements have to come from discussion with the IPS, primarily the two councils mentioned Chester, Chester and Cheshire West

00:02:19:27 - 00:02:32:09

rather than being as a result of the change requests. Those changes have included matters such as better defining what the stages are and how that control would work. There has been some change around.

00:02:36:06 - 00:02:57:23

The sub plans within the construction environmental management plan. And then there has been quite a lot of discussion around construction, working hours and start up and shutdown activities, though those we would submit, those are the primary material changes without going into the process for discharge. And I do not know if you want to deal with that separately. Yeah.

00:02:58:17 - 00:03:14:29

We'll come to that separately. Thank you. Again, lots of detail in agreement. Um, and changes have resulted from the issue specific hearing to and the written questions and then subsequent negotiations between the relevant interested parties. Um.

00:03:23:06 - 00:03:40:11

Again, what I would say is that. Devices that we're not asking if we're not asking a question about a specific requirement, this is not because we necessarily consider all matters have been resolved in relation to that issue. And. That the requirements that the requirements deal with. But.

00:03:47:15 - 00:03:52:20

But that in terms of reviewing the wording, we can be satisfied with them. As currently worded,

00:03:54:17 - 00:04:25:02

the agenda indicates that we're going to go ask, ask questions and seek responses from appropriate as appropriate from the applicant and the local authorities and other interested parties who have registered to speak. I was intending to invite other IPS to ask questions and ask for clarification on the items regard to the requirements in the draft as well. But in order to do this, because of the change request, again have to go through each one again and apologise for this. But we're going to go through a similar process as we did before lunch.

00:04:25:04 - 00:04:52:16

But in terms of the requirements. So my first question, um, we're dealing with schedule two, part one of the requirements and requirement one, which is interpretations and requirement two, which is time limits have no questions, but does anybody else want to raise any questions with regard to either of those two requirements at this stage? There's nobody indicating in the room and there's nobody indicating online.

00:04:55:20 - 00:05:26:18

The requirement. Three The Environment Agency had indicated that they wish to speak in regard to this requirement to stages the authorized development. However, they notified us last night of their non-attendance today, so I can't offer them the opportunity to ask whatever they were going to ask. I have no questions. But does anybody else in the room have any questions that they would like to ask with regard to requirement three, which is stages of the authorized development?

00:05:28:12 - 00:05:32:18

No indication. Anybody online? Okay. No indication.

00:05:38:17 - 00:05:40:24

Requirement for scheme design.

00:05:43:01 - 00:06:04:07

This was where I was going to mention again the different versions, but you've already picked up on that, so you're going to be submitting those at deadline seven. I have no other questions other than the point I was going to make about various options of the draft development consent order. But does anybody else have any questions with regard to requirements for scheme design?

00:06:05:24 - 00:06:23:20

No indication in the room. No indication online. Requirements five which is construction of environment construction, environmental management plan requirements. Six Construction Traffic requirements. Seven Highways Access. Have no questions. Does anybody else in the room have any questions with regard to these items?

00:06:25:22 - 00:06:34:09

Nope. No indication in the room. No indication online? No. Okay. Requirement eight. Um.

00:06:35:27 - 00:06:38:00

Which is surface water drainage.

00:06:39:16 - 00:07:13:15

This is where you use the abbreviation and you know, w which is where got confused before and who had seen it somewhere. Um, but should you be defining in LW in either requirement one or nautical two interpretations? And the same applies for the Environment Agency and the lead local flood authorities should they be defined somewhere? Um, I'll leave you to decide whether or not that's appropriate and address it at the next submission stage.

00:07:13:26 - 00:07:22:19

But does anybody else have any other questions? Have no other questions. But does anybody else have any other questions with regard to surface water drainage Requirement eight.

00:07:25:19 - 00:08:05:12

No indication in the room. I've got no indication. Online requirement nine, which is contaminated land and groundwater. Again, the Environment Agency indicating that they wish to speak in relation to this item, but following their notification of non-attendance last night, I'm not able to offer them the opportunity to speak at this stage. Um, this is from my point of view, an area where we had a lot of discussion at the last issue specific hearing concerning verification reports required by requirement nine brackets five and whether they should be approved by the relevant planning authority.

00:08:06:00 - 00:08:20:09

Um, can the local authorities advise me whether or not you because the local authorities that wish to pursue this further for the verification reports. Are you in a position to comment on it now?

00:08:22:27 - 00:08:24:09

Stop Flintshire if you want.

00:08:25:03 - 00:08:30:05

Isn't cordoned off. Flintshire County Council. We don't have any issue with the need for verification reports.

00:08:30:07 - 00:08:41:20

Right. Okay. So that's, that's resolved from your point of view. Does. Just Cheshire West and Chester Council have any comments on verification reports and the need for them to be approved.

00:08:46:19 - 00:09:06:18

Agree with Cheshire West. Our position remains as as we put in our most recent written response in that we believe there should be written proof of verification. Sorry, didn't quite hear that. Should. Should be. There should be, yes. Right. Okay. With the applicant like to respond at all?

00:09:08:16 - 00:09:15:14

Upon hearing from the applicant, our position also remains as per license submission. We do not agree with that. We don't think there is any need for them to be approved.

00:09:15:29 - 00:09:19:03

Right. Okay. All right. Your positions are noted.

00:09:22:03 - 00:09:38:24

As as there's an impulse between the two and disagree an agreement to disagree by the looks of it. I'm going to leave it at that point, but I have no other questions with regard to requirement knowing which is contaminated land and groundwater. But does anybody else want to ask any questions?

00:09:40:14 - 00:09:45:06

No indication in the room, No indication on line. So I'm going to move on to.

00:09:51:23 - 00:10:26:06

Requirement ten, which is archaeology. This is a similar point to the one make regard to requirement. Is there a need to define the interested parties that are identified in this requirement? So historic England, for example, and the Welsh government, should they be defined in requirement one or Article two? And I'll leave you to decide as a as appropriate in relation to that. Other than that, have no questions with regard to requirement ten archaeology. Does anybody else want to ask any questions with regard to Article three Requirement ten Archaeology?

00:10:28:09 - 00:10:31:18

No. Okay. In that case, I'm going to move on to

00:10:33:03 - 00:10:39:12

11, which is landscape and ecological management plans have no questions, but does anybody else wish to ask any questions?

00:10:40:28 - 00:10:43:29

No indication in the room. No indication online.

00:10:47:07 - 00:11:22:24

Requirement 12 is ecological survey surveys. Now, earlier on in the the hearing today when we were discussing all Tammy Brooke, um, there was an indication that Flintshire was happy with the ecological survey position. Um, and Cheshire West and Chester Council had said had no comments and were content with both documents that were discussed earlier on. Um, do you have anything else you want to say with regard to requirement 12 ecological surveys? No.

00:11:24:07 - 00:11:28:23

Does anybody else in the room have anything they wish to say with regard to ecological surveys at all?

00:11:30:15 - 00:11:45:19

I have no further questions. Online. Does anybody online want to say anything with regard to ecological surveys? Requirement 12. I'm going to move on then. Requirement 13 construction hours. Have no questions. Does anybody else wish to ask any questions?

00:11:47:08 - 00:11:49:10

No indication in the room or online.

00:11:51:21 - 00:12:07:03

Record full teams Operational noise requirement 14.5 specifies ten working days to submit a mitigation plan. This is effectively two weeks after the noise monitoring results have shown Exceedance all the relevant planning authorities satisfied with this.

00:12:12:13 - 00:12:15:07

Charles Burt, Cheshire West and Chester. So we don't have an issue, sir.

00:12:15:11 - 00:12:29:07

Right. Okay. I've had a shake of the head from Flintshire as well, just to note that in the system somewhere. So there's nobody wanting to follow up on that. Does anybody else want to ask anything with regard to.

00:12:32:12 - 00:12:37:29

Requirement 14 operational noise. No indication in the room. No indication on line.

00:12:42:08 - 00:13:15:12

The one thing I was going to ask you regarding requirements for teen sex was that it requires the undertaker to comply with a plan approved under subsection five, but it doesn't specify how long they should comply with that that plan, once it's approved, I'm assuming it should be there after that. Can the applicant shed any clarification on that at all, please? How long should be specified for the duration? Should it be for the duration of the operational development or you only? Are you not planning to specify a time limit?

00:13:19:01 - 00:13:20:28

It's required the 1460s to.

00:13:22:01 - 00:13:23:07

Problem for the applicant,

00:13:25:07 - 00:13:34:11

given that it was operational noise, If there was an incident, we had fully expected that the plant would have to apply for the period of duration of operation.

00:13:34:20 - 00:14:04:09

Right. Okay. I'm just wondering whether it's a belt and braces approach because, you know, I'm not suggesting you would do this, but I've seen other people in the past say, well, you didn't specify a time limit, so I've complied with it and then I've stopped. So it wouldn't do you any good to do that because you just still be in Exceedance. But. Okay. All right. Understand? Anybody else on requirement 14? Again, just as a final call on that one.

00:14:05:27 - 00:14:24:15

All right. Nobody online, nobody in the room. So requirement 15, which is provision of as built details requirements 16 which is restoration of land. I have no questions with regard to either of those requirements. Does anybody else want to ask anything with regard to requirements? 15 or 16?

00:14:27:08 - 00:14:34:00

No indication in the room or online. Moving on. Requirements. 17 Operational and maintenance.

00:14:36:01 - 00:14:37:19

Environmental management plans.

00:14:39:21 - 00:14:50:08

Article 17 one doesn't require an agreement or approval of the relevant planning authority or the planning authority. Satisfied in that regard? Can I ask Cheshire?

00:14:52:23 - 00:14:56:08

Cheshire West and Chester Council. Perhaps first, as I'm going to pick on you this time.

00:15:21:22 - 00:15:27:16

Sarah, Michelle Smart for Cheshire West and Chester Council. Our preference would be to have it for approval and.

00:15:30:02 - 00:15:37:22

We've not raised as an issue thus far, so it'd be a bit tardy of us to raise it now. But ideally, yes, we would.

00:15:38:13 - 00:15:42:02

That's fine. I understand. Flintshire Do you have a view?

00:15:44:06 - 00:15:47:26

You can call the French County Council. The same is true for West Point.

00:15:47:28 - 00:15:50:04

Thank you. Applicant. Would you like to respond.

00:15:52:07 - 00:15:59:18

For the applicant would like to revert to you in writing because I'm fairly sure we have made submissions about that point at some earlier stage and

00:16:01:03 - 00:16:17:24

would like to be consistent with those. Think the main thrust of our message was a lot of the operational maintenance plan will be driven by our need to comply with health and safety regulations, particularly the pipeline safety regulations. And there's a limit in what we could actually agree with the council's if it tended to diverge or not.

00:16:18:08 - 00:16:46:17

Yeah, just just so I'm clear, I'm aware of your previous response. I'm, I'm just seeing whether or not the councils are satisfied with where we, where we've reached on it, basically. So, um, so when I say we mean what the order contains as opposed to me personally, um, does anybody else have anything they want to say with regard to requirements? 17 Operational and Maintenance Environmental Management plans.

00:16:48:18 - 00:17:12:00

There's nobody in the room. Is there anybody online? No requirement. 18. Um, this is just a minor point. This is decommissioning of environment, decommissioning, environmental management plans. I think there's a typo, um, at the bottom where it refers to subparagraph one, but think it should be subparagraph three.

00:17:15:09 - 00:17:24:02

Other than that, have no questions. Does anybody else have anything they wish to say with regard to Requirement 18 Decommissioning Environmental Management plans?

00:17:25:23 - 00:17:27:02

But thank you.

00:17:31:04 - 00:17:51:13

Requirements 19, which is written approval requirements. 20 amendments to approved details requirements 21 anticipatory anticipatory steps towards compliance with any requirement. I have no questions in regard to any of those requirements. Does anybody in the room or online have any questions with regard to requirements 19 20 or 21?

00:17:53:22 - 00:18:02:25

No indication in the room, No indication online. That takes us to schedule two part two, which is applications made under the requirements.

00:18:04:24 - 00:18:44:24

With regard to requirement 22, which is applications made under the requirements. 23 which is multiple discharging authorities, 24 further information, 25 fees. My recollection was that timings were raised as a potential issue by primarily the relevant local authorities and the applicant were under discussions with regard to a planning performance agreement secured under section one one, one of the Local Government Act 1972. Again, this is an agreement outside of the examination and is not necessarily needed to be entered into the examination.

00:18:44:27 - 00:18:56:08

Can't ask you to enter into the examination, but I would like to know how far you've got with that agreement and whether it's completed and whether or not the parties are satisfied.

00:18:58:19 - 00:19:22:07

Michelle, Cheshire West and Chester Council. So that is with us at the moment. We've received an initial draft and due to holidays, we've not been able to review that over the past couple of weeks that we've dealt with urgently and we hope to make progress on that one. It's a pretty standard form document and it's just a matter of going through it and making sure any amendments are covered off.

00:19:22:23 - 00:19:32:11

Fine. Okay. I'm assuming Flintshire County Council are in a similar position, bearing in mind you seem to be working in tandem on these things. So have you seen the document?

00:19:33:00 - 00:19:38:13

Susan called on the Flintshire County Council? Yes, we've been supplied with the document going through it.

00:19:38:25 - 00:19:46:03

So just. Just for the benefit, turn your head away from the mic there. So we didn't catch the last bit. That was all.

00:19:46:12 - 00:19:49:24

Oh, just say we're working our way through the document.

00:19:50:00 - 00:20:27:03

Okay. Again, this is just a point, but if it's to be taken into account as part of the examination, we need to have confirmation from the the relevant parties prior to the close of the examination that you are satisfied with the content of the agreement and that you remove your concerns with regard to that element that you have previously made points about, basically. So just ask you to as soon as you're able to and you have reached agreement, assuming that's before the close of the examination, can you let the examining authority know? Does the applicant want to respond at all?

00:20:29:22 - 00:20:30:09

Thank you, sir.

00:20:30:18 - 00:20:31:18

Okay. Thank you.

00:20:33:15 - 00:20:40:00

Um, does anybody else want to say anything with regard to requirements? 22, 23, 24 or 25?

00:20:42:04 - 00:21:02:24

No indication online or in the room. So I'm going to move on to Requirements 26, which is appeals requirements, 27 appeal, outcome of appeals requirement, 28 interpretations have no questions in regard to any of those requirements. Does anybody in the room or online wish to ask anything with regard to

00:21:04:16 - 00:21:08:06

requirements? 2720 sorry, 26, 27 or 28?

00:21:10:28 - 00:21:27:23

No indications in the room, no indications online. So I'm going to move on. Does anybody else want to raise anything else in regard to requirements at all? Um, otherwise, I'm going to move on to the next agenda item, which is agenda item seven,

00:21:29:14 - 00:21:38:00

Article 44 of the Development Center order and Certification of Plans. Okay. So moving on to Article 44.

00:21:42:25 - 00:22:37:00

Agenda Item seven Issue specific hearing to review the plans and documents to be certified and sought views as to whether the list was complete and if not, what additional documents needed to be included. All the documents identified in Article two interpretations now appear to be correctly referenced in Article 40 for the certification of plans. However, I'd ask the applicant whether there has been any changes to this article as a result of change requests, and if so, explain what those changes might need to have been. I think you've already addressed that in the previous meeting, but if you could just review that point, Um, and I'd also ask the applicant confirm that the plans and documents listed in the draft document, which is the current version 3-008 now represents a complete list of certified documents at this point in time, including any of those that were related to the change requests.

00:22:40:02 - 00:22:41:15

But luckily for the applicant.

00:22:46:00 - 00:23:18:21

With the exception of item F, which I'm going to speak about just a moment. Yes, we consider that as a complete and accurate list item F, which has the general arrangement drawings for the aboveground installations and the block valve stations does not include any similar drawing for the ultimate bridge crossing. That's something we are looking at. Whether or not that's necessary, we haven't actually got a drawing that we would put the number in for yet that's under consideration and we would prefer to come back to you in writing on that one drawing, if that's possible, sir.

00:23:19:25 - 00:23:34:00

That's fine. Just to be clear, there is an illustrative plan of the old timey brook crossing. Can I just ask what your hesitancy is with regard to whether you should include that reference?

00:23:36:09 - 00:23:44:07

Partly because it is an illustrative plan and partly because the other ones include things like elevations and format that that does not quite align with. Yeah.

00:23:44:24 - 00:23:55:23

Okay. Understood. I'll be interested to see what your response is on that. We're not going to mark it down as an action point because you've clearly got it in your, your focus. But

00:23:57:23 - 00:24:17:09

yeah, we'll wait and see what you come back with on that. Does anybody else have any questions with regard to agenda item seven, which is Article 44 and the the draft of certification of plans? Does anybody else believe there's anything missing from this article?

00:24:19:06 - 00:24:19:21

No.

00:24:20:12 - 00:24:28:15

I've got no indication in the room. No indication online. Does anybody got any questions at all with regard to agenda item seven?

00:24:31:03 - 00:24:33:15

Nope. In that case, I'm going to move on.

00:24:35:18 - 00:24:38:20

Two consents, licences and other agreements.

00:24:40:24 - 00:24:42:27

This is our next agenda item.

00:24:47:29 - 00:24:49:22

She's a gender tomato believe.

00:24:55:18 - 00:25:08:08

In the light of the accepted change request, can the applicant provide an update, an overview of consents, licenses and other agreements required in order to undertake the proposed development as previously outlined in the issue? Specific hearing to please.

00:25:11:16 - 00:25:49:01

Opposed to the applicant at the change request themselves did not particularly change the scope of the consents and licenses that are set out in other consents and licenses statement remains. In terms of an update and the Marine license application, which was withdrawn to prepare, further information has been progressed. Further information should be completed. In going to quality assurance this week. We are hoping to resubmit that application by mid-August and will confirm when we're done. So my understanding is all the draft or shadow European protected species license applications have now been made and we are awaiting comments back in the majority of them.

00:25:50:25 - 00:25:51:10

Yeah,

00:25:53:17 - 00:26:01:25

we've received comment on some total progress is being made on those. I am not aware of any other updates that need to be made to the other consents. Licensee statements.

00:26:02:23 - 00:26:03:09

Okay.

00:26:03:11 - 00:26:04:15

Thank you very much for that.

00:26:08:18 - 00:26:22:05

In in terms of completions of those the licenses and consents and other agreements that are required. Are you anticipating completing any prior to the close of the examination?

00:26:31:23 - 00:26:36:07

On behalf of the applicant. We have received comments back from Natural England on.

00:26:38:24 - 00:26:53:07

Oh three and I've got a call tomorrow with Natural England with a view to try and close out some of the comments. So we're anticipating that we'll be able to hopefully close these out before the end of the examination of the natural England. Okay.

00:26:56:20 - 00:27:05:03

That's fine. I'm sure you're you're you'll keep the examining authority updated as and when anything is completed. Thank you.

00:27:11:11 - 00:27:21:02

In my next question, I'm going to skip over because we've dealt with it previously. It was about, um, the 30 years of, um.

00:27:22:24 - 00:27:33:04

Ecological mitigation and how that was secured, etcetera. So we don't need to cover that again. Mr.. Covered that amply this morning. Um.

00:27:35:09 - 00:27:52:15

Just to finalize and be clear. All right. Our last issue specific hearing, both just the West and Chester Council and Flintshire County Council confirmed that they were not seeking any Section six agreement. Is that still the case?

00:27:54:23 - 00:27:58:00

Cheshire West and Chester Council. Yes, sir. Can confirm that. Still the case?

00:27:58:10 - 00:27:59:01

Okay, fine.

00:28:03:04 - 00:28:09:16

Hannah Parish Church County Council. Yeah, that's correct as well. From our position, there's no section only six moving sort. Thank you.

00:28:09:27 - 00:28:11:00

Thank you very much.

00:28:13:05 - 00:28:13:27

But just.

00:28:14:26 - 00:28:28:03

For Chester West and Chester Council's perspective, there is a submission at Deadline six from one of your councillors which talks about local contributions.

00:28:29:26 - 00:28:38:02

So I'm not expecting you to change your position, but you might need to have a discussion with your council.

00:28:40:03 - 00:29:16:03

Cheshire West and Chester Council. So that was made in his capacity as a member, a councillor, rather than the opinion and position of the Council in totality. And I think that was what I saw very fleetingly, his representation before it was submitted and it was made clear on that. So the position that we put forward in our submissions, either orally in writing or on behalf of Cheshire West and Chester Council as the entity, that very much was his position as a councillor in respect of his ward members.

00:29:17:24 - 00:29:34:05

That's understood. And there's a member of the Council. He's entitled to make his opinion now, as is the council, as a body expressing their position as a corporate body as opposed to an individual. Thank you very much for that. Does the applicant wish to say anything in regard to.

00:29:36:09 - 00:29:42:18

And you think that they've heard just now with regard to legal agreements? I don't think you would, but just open it up. So.

00:29:45:23 - 00:29:46:20

Okay.

00:29:47:05 - 00:29:52:27

Is there anybody else wanting to raise any matters with regard to this agenda item which relates to.

00:29:55:20 - 00:30:01:12

Consents, licences or other agreements. Anybody in the room? Anybody online?

00:30:03:00 - 00:30:05:09

There's no indication in either.

00:30:08:18 - 00:30:25:10

In that case, that finishes my topics on the issue specific here, and I'm going to pass to Mr. Shrigley to move to agenda items nine and ten which relate to review of action points and any other business and then closure of the hearing.

00:30:26:05 - 00:30:27:05

Thank you, Mr. Butler.

00:30:29:08 - 00:30:33:12

I'm going to start by just asking whether or not there's any other business

00:30:35:25 - 00:30:50:09

to be raised in relation to this issue specific hearing on environmental matters or the draft. Just one final time to give people an opportunity to say anything else if it hasn't already been covered.

00:30:52:13 - 00:30:56:13

No one seems to be raising anything. And

00:30:58:09 - 00:30:59:27

in terms of the action points.

00:31:02:18 - 00:31:07:21

There was a couple this morning in relation to the economic case.

00:31:10:04 - 00:31:44:11

Largely pages two and 15. And there was a seed bank inquiry linked to some of the environmental considerations also. So the examining authority was seeking clarification on those two points. There have been some additional action points in relation to the DCO, but that's blended with perhaps some ongoing work on that document. So I'm not going to be too extensive in going through that. And Mr. Butler and I'll discuss what action points are needed in relation to the.

00:31:48:13 - 00:32:24:17

Um, we will intend to publish the action points in the next day or two following the close of today's hearing. And that leads me to now move to the closure of the hearing. A digital recording of today's proceedings will be made available as soon as possible on the project page of the National Infrastructure website. In addition, we request that you submit in writing the oral points that you have made during discussion for publication on the website. The deadline for these written submissions is Deadline seven, which is Tuesday the 5th of September 2023.

00:32:25:29 - 00:32:57:07

And following today's hearing, um, there's no longer a need to use the reserve date for which is Friday the 10th of August at 930 for the topics we've covered today. So can't confirm that that reserve date is no longer required in accordance with our Rule 13 letter of the 18th of July and no other notice of is required of this cancellation.

00:32:57:15 - 00:33:28:12

Just to be clear, though, the cancellation of this reserve date only relates to today's issue specific hearing and should there be a need to utilise that date for any other hearings taking place this week, we do reserve the opportunity to utilise that date for those with those matters concluded. That leaves me to thank all parties for participating today, as well as those watching on a live stream and in the background.

00:33:29:02 - 00:33:47:21

And so and with that all said, the time is it's just gone 2:23 and declared this issue specific hearing regarding environmental matters for the proposed high net carbon dioxide pipeline project closed. Thank you.